



**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):
SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE**

Date: November 2013	Surveyor: Gary Claydon-Bone
Tree details	
TPO Ref:	Tree/Group No:
Owner (if known):	Species: Oak
Location: Rear garden of 82 The Grove	

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable |

* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

Score & Notes
5

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10* | Unsuitable |

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

Score & Notes
4

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only | Just suitable |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes
3

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|----------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees | <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Score & Notes</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">4</td> </tr> </table> | Score & Notes | 4 |
| Score & Notes | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion | | | |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance | | | |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual | | | |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features | | | |

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree | <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Score & Notes</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">5</td> </tr> </table> | Score & Notes | 5 |
| Score & Notes | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree | | | |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree | | | |
| 1) Precautionary only. | | | |

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|------------|------------------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-10 | Does not merit TPO |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible |
| 15+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:
21

Decision:
Apply TPO to site